## **EXHIBIT 2**

			Page 1
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3			
4	AUSTIN FENNER and IKIMULISA LIVINGSTON,		
5	Plaintiffs,		
6	VS.	09CIV9832	
		(BSJ(RLE)	
7	NEWS CORPORATION, NYP HOLDINGS, INC., d/b/a THE NEW YORK POST,		
8	and DAN GREENFIELD and MICHELLE GOTTHELF,		
9	·		
	Defendants.		
10			
	SANDRA GUZMAN,		
11			
	Plaintiff,		
12			
	VS.	09CIV9323	
13		(BSJ(RLE)	
14	NEWS CORPORATION, NYP HOLDINGS, INC., d/b/a THE NEW YORK POST, and COL ALLAN, in his official		
15	and individual capacities,		
16	Defendants.		
17	DEPOSITION OF JOE ROB	INOWITZ	
18	New York, New York		
19	June 14, 2012	•	
20	2 3.2.2 2 2 7 2 2 2		
21	Reported by:		
22	MARY F. BOWMAN, RPR, CRR		
23	JOB NO. 50552		
24			
25			

	Page 90		Page 91
1		1	ROBINOWITZ
1 2	ROBINOWITZ		1
3	termination Sandra Guzman?	2 3	A. I would say that I did, because
1	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	ł	more than half of her sections or half of her
4	A. Had nothing to do with me. All	4	sections were gone.
5	I	5	Q. Well, there were other sections
6	Q. I'm not asking whether it had	6	that she was working on at the time of her
7	something to do with you. My question is	7	termination, correct?
8	MS. LOVINGER: The record will	8	A. Correct.
9	reflect that the witness was again cut	9	Q. And those sections were strike
10	off in answering.	10	that.
11	Q. Mr. Robinowitz, if you weren't	11	So why couldn't she stay on at the
12	finished, please finish your question. I	12	Post and handle those sections going forward?
13	thought you were finished.	13	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
14	A. Sir, this is a hypothetical. It	14	A. Mr. Allan asked me in advance of my
15	was not my decision to make. I was carrying	15	learning of her termination I went in to
16	out orders. I don't know whether I would	16	see him. Amy Scialdone was with me. The
17	agree or disagree. It was something I was	17	decision was made that she would no longer do
18	asked to do.	18	those sections.
19	Q. I'm not asking you a hypothetical	19	And the reason I know this is
20	question. I am asking you a question in	20	because when we went into his office, we
21	fact.	21	said we said, we've come to talk about
22		22	Sandra. His question right at that point to
23	1 7 0 0	23	me was, who will pick up her other sections?
24	you agree with that decision? Yes or no?	24	Q. And what did you say?
25	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	25	A. Carole Sovocool.
	Page 92		Page 93
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	Q. Who made the decision to have	2	to Mr. Allan's office regarding Sandra
3	Carole Sovocool take over the position	3	Guzman's termination?
4	A. He said that was fine.	4	A. At some point after the decision
5	Q. My question you have to let me	5	was made to terminate Tempo.
6	finish my question.	6	Q. When was that decision made?
7	A. Yes, sir, I am sorry.	7	A. I don't know the exact date.
8	Q. My question is, who made the	8	Q. Who made the decision to terminate
9	decision that Carole Sovocool would take over	9	Tempo?
10	the other sections of the paper that	10	A. I don't know.
11	Ms. Guzman was responsible for apart from	11	Q. Did you participate in the decision
12	Tempo?	12	to terminate Tempo?
13	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	13	A. No, sir.
14	A. When Col asked me that question, I	14	Q. How did you learn that the Tempo
15	said, I think the best person would be Carole	15	section would be terminated?
16	Sovocool. And he said fine.	16	A. I can't recall.
17	Q. Did Carole Sovocool actually take	17	Q. Who told you that the Tempo section
18	over the responsibility for editing the other	18	was going to be terminated?
19	sections that Ms. Guzman had edited during	19	MS. LOVINGER: Objection. Asked
	her employment?	20	and answered.
21		21	A. I can't recall.
20 21 22 23 24	Q. Is Carole Sovocool Caucasian?	22	Q. Was it a male or female who told
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	you that the Tempo section was going to be
24		24	terminated?
25		25	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.

	Page 102		Page 103
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	Tempo?	2	at that point and say, is there anything else
3	A. No. That wouldn't be my job to do	3	we can give to Sandra so she doesn't have to
4	that. I don't know what else there was even	4	be terminated?
5	available in the newsroom at that time.	5	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
6	Q. Well, did you did it ever cross	6	A. I didn't I mean that's not my
7	your mind to ask Col Allan if there was	7	responsibility. It's you know, the
8	additional responsibilities that could be	8	responsibility for the other areas that need
9	given to Sandra Guzman after Tempo closed?	9	coverage in our newsroom, I don't have
10	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	10	Q. My question
11	A. No, I did not ask him that.	11	MS. LOVINGER: He is still
12	Q. Why not?	12	answering the question and you're cutting
13	A. The there are four people that	13	him off.
14	report to me at this point, OK, or maybe	14	Q. The reason why I go on to the next
15	five. One has been taken away from me. I	15	question, Mr. Robinowitz, is it appears as if
16	didn't know she was going to be terminated.	16	you've finished answering the questions. If
17	I just knew that those other sections that	17	you can just keep your voice up, and I will
18	she handled were going to be given to Carole	T8	wait for you to finish answering all my
19	Sovocool. At that point, I knew she was no	19	questions.
20	longer going to be reporting to me.	20	A. OK, I understand.
21	Q. But then there came a time when you	21	Q. OK?
22	learned that Ms. Guzman was going to be	22	I am not asking you whether it was
23	terminated, correct?	23	your responsibility to go to Col Allan and
24	A. That is correct.	24	talk to him about whether Ms. Guzman should
25	Q. So why didn't you go to Col Allan	25	be given additional work. I'm asking you why
	Page 104		Page 105
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	didn't you go to him and ask him whether	2	report of yours, correct?
3	Ms. Guzman could be given additional	3	A. Right.
4	responsibilities rather than be terminated.	4	Q. And months earlier, you felt that
5	A. I simply didn't.	5	she was doing her performance exceeded the
6	Q. I understand you didn't. I am	6	standards, correct?
7	asking you why didn't you.	7	A. Her performance did exceed the
8	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	8	standards. She was an excellent employee.
,	A. I didn't.	1	Excellent employees have left before, and I
10			harvanlt can a ta may gumanian and you know
h 1	Q. Why?	10	haven't gone to my superior and, you know,
	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked	11	sought additional work for them.
12	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.	11 12	sought additional work for them.  Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman
L2 L3	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were	11 12 13	sought additional work for them.  Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent
12 13 14	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.	11 12 13 14	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee?
12 13 14 15	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question	11 12 13 14 15	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
12 13 14 15 16	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask	11 12 13 14 15 16	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections
12 13 14 15 16	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?	11 12 13 14 15	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone.
12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.	11 12 13 14 15 16	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone. Q. That's not my question. You just
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.  A. I can just give you, in my	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone.
12 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.  A. I can just give you, in my experience, people come, people go. People	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone. Q. That's not my question. You just said she was an excellent employee. My
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.  A. I can just give you, in my	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone. Q. That's not my question. You just said she was an excellent employee. My question, Mr. Robinowitz, is, was she an
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.  A. I can just give you, in my experience, people come, people go. People are hired, people are fired. People quit,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone. Q. That's not my question. You just said she was an excellent employee. My question, Mr. Robinowitz, is, was she an excellent employee on the day she was terminated? A. Yes. Her termination had nothing
12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LOVINGER: This has been asked and answered.  A. Sir, I didn't ask if there were other things she could do.  Q. I know you didn't ask. My question is, why didn't you go to Col Allan and ask him?  MS. LOVINGER: Objection.  A. I can just give you, in my experience, people come, people go. People are hired, people are fired. People quit, people die. It is not my responsibility in	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22	sought additional work for them. Q. So you believe that when Ms. Guzman was terminated, she was an excellent employee? MS. LOVINGER: Objection. A. I believe that half of the sections that she was handling were gone. Q. That's not my question. You just said she was an excellent employee. My question, Mr. Robinowitz, is, was she an excellent employee on the day she was terminated?

	Page 234		Page 235
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	A. I don't know. I can tell you who	2	A. What do you mean, did they occupy?
3	was I'm pretty sure I know who was there	3	Q. Did they have offices
4	during the meeting.	4	A. There is a series of conference
5	Q. OK.	5	rooms on that floor.
6	A. Myself	6	Q. Did News Corp. also have conference
7	Q. Wait. Before you identify the	7	rooms on the third floor?
8	people who were there, do you recall when you	8	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
9	met with the appraisal committee in 2009 to	9	A. I I think the conference rooms
10	go over Ms. Guzman's evaluation for that	10	are used by all kinds of different companies
11	year?	11	that are associated with, you know, the
12	A. No, sir.	12	parent company. But it is where the
13	Q. Do you recall the month in 2009	13	conference rooms are.
14	that you participated in such a meeting?	14	Q. Now, who do you recall being
15	A. No, sir.	15	present for the meeting that you had with the
16	Q. Do you recall where the meeting was	16	appraisal committee to go over Ms. Guzman's
17	held?	17	draft evaluation?
18	A. It was held at 1211 6th Avenue.	18	A. In 2009?
19	Q. Where in 1211 6th Avenue was the	19	Q. Yes.
20	meeting held?	20	A. I'm certain I was there. I'm
21	A. In a conference room on the third	21	certain Mr. Allan was there. I'm certain
22	floor.	22	Ms. Jehn was there. I'm certain Paul
23	Q. Did the New York Post occupy	23	Carlucci was there.
24	offices on the third floor of 1211 Avenue of	24	I don't believe that Amy Scialdone
25	the Americas when you had that meeting?	25	was there. But I have a vague recollection
	Page 236		Page 237
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	that Mike Racano might have been there.	2	editor.
3	Q. When you went to the meeting that	3	Those were my reports in that year
4	day, did you go with the intention of	4	that I did performance appraisals for.
5	reviewing only Ms. Guzman's work performance	5	Q. Was there a discussion by the group
6	or the work performance of some of your other	6	regarding your draft appraisal for Ms. Guzman
7	direct reports?	7	for 2009?
8	A. All of my direct reports. It is	8	A. Yes, there was.
9	purposely scheduled that day.	9	Q. Can you describe the discussion
10	Q. OK. And how many direct reports	10	that took place?
11	did you have at that time?	11	A. The only thing I recall is that
12	A. At that time, it would have been	12	when we got to the actual rating, somebody
13	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.	13	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this
13 14	<ul><li>A. At that time, it would have been</li><li>Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.</li><li>Q. Laura Harris?</li></ul>	13 14	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach
13 14 15	<ul><li>A. At that time, it would have been</li><li>Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.</li><li>Q. Laura Harris?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's</li></ul>	13 14 15	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January.
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. At that time, it would have been</li> <li>Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.</li> <li>Q. Laura Harris?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's</li> <li>librarian.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be
13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. At that time, it would have been</li> <li>Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.</li> <li>Q. Laura Harris?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian.</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. At that time, it would have been</li> <li>Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian.</li> <li>Q. Laura Harris?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian.</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Chris Erikson, who works for</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also did does our At Work section on Mondays.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice, and the rating of a 4 should be reduced as a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also did does our At Work section on Mondays. I no longer review him, but I did in 2009.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice, and the rating of a 4 should be reduced as a result of that write-up.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also did does our At Work section on Mondays. I no longer review him, but I did in 2009. David Landsel, the travel editor.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice, and the rating of a 4 should be reduced as a result of that write-up.  Now, when I did this write-up, I
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also did does our At Work section on Mondays. I no longer review him, but I did in 2009. David Landsel, the travel editor. Carole Sovocool, the special	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice, and the rating of a 4 should be reduced as a result of that write-up.  Now, when I did this write-up, I didn't I wasn't even considering
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. At that time, it would have been Laura Harris, who is the Post's librarian. Q. Laura Harris? A. Yes, sir, Laura Harris, the Post's librarian. Q. Right. A. Chris Erikson, who works for features. He's sort of a hybrid. He also did does our At Work section on Mondays. I no longer review him, but I did in 2009. David Landsel, the travel editor.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when we got to the actual rating, somebody said, listen, there is nothing in this document that reflects an ethical breach which Sandra was involved in, in January. She was written up for it. That needs to be incorporated in this document so that she knows that we are serious about her upholding the highest standards of business practice, and the rating of a 4 should be reduced as a result of that write-up.  Now, when I did this write-up, I

	Page 282		Page 283
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	question.	2	pick up the other sections? It was natural
3	Q. Did there come a time when you and	3	for me to say Carole Sovocool.
4	Amy Scialdone went to see Col Allan about	4	Q. I understand.
5	Sandra Guzman?	5	But when you went into that office
6	A. Yes.	6	that day, you had no idea how much time
7	Q. Why did you go see him?	7	Sandra Guzman had been spending on the other
8	MS. LOVINGER: Objection. Asked	8	sections separate and apart from Tempo,
9	and answered.	9	correct?
10	A. I have answered. It has been asked	10	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
11	several times and answered.	11	A. That is correct.
12		12	Q. So is it fair to say that when you
13		13	went to see Col Allan, Sandra Guzman might
1.4	,	14	have been spending the bulk of her time as a
15		15	New York Post editor working on the other
16	that she worked on.	16	sections of the newspaper as opposed to
17	Q. I understand. Now	17	spending most of her time on Tempo? Right?
18	A. All the other sections previously	18	MS. LOVINGER: Objection.
19	<del>-</del>	19	A. I have no way of knowing.
20	had been, you know, edited by Carole	20	
21	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21	Q. And as you sit here today, you have
52 F T	*	22	no idea, right?
1		23	MS. LOVINGER: Objection. Asked
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	and answered.
24	*	24	Q. You have to answer verbally, not
25		25	nonverbally.
	Page 284		Page 285
1	ROBINOWITZ	1	ROBINOWITZ
2	A. I have no idea. All I know is when	2	MR. THOMPSON: Let's take a break
3	she brought her sections to me, they were	3	right now.
4	top-notch. The Tempo ones there was no	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
5	performance problem.	5	record. The time is 5:41 p.m.
6	Q. I understand that. That's not in	6	(Recess)
7	dispute.	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on
8	My question to you is this: As you	8	the record. The time is 6:04 p.m.
9	sit here today, can you point to any other	9	(Exhibit 16, document Bates stamped
10	New York Post employee who had more	10	NYP2327 through 28 marked for
11		11	identification, as of this date.)
12		12	BY MR. THOMPSON:
13	terminated than you?	13	Q. Mr. Robinowitz, please take a
14	A. I I think I probably had the	14	minute to look at Deposition Exhibit 16,
15	most.	15	which is Bates stamped NYP2327 to 2328.
16	Q. OK.	16	A. OK.
17	A. But not on how she was spending her	17	Q. Have you seen this exhibit before?
18		18	A. Yes, I have.
19		19	Q. Did you see this exhibit back in
20		20	September of 2007?
21		21	A. This was shortly after I became her
22		22	supervisor.
23		23	Q. Did you see this exhibit back in
24		24	September of 2007?
25		25	A. Yes, sir.